

On the Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions
to Stochastic Differential Equations
Driven by G -Brownian Motion
with Integral-Lipschitz Coefficients

Xuepeng BAI , Yiqing LIN¹

*Institut de Recherche Mathématique de Rennes, Université de Rennes 1,
35042 Rennes Cedex, France*

Abstract

In this paper, we study the existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic differential equations driven by G -Brownian motion with an integral-Lipschitz condition for the coefficients.

AMS Subject classification: 60H10

Keywords: G -Brownian motion; G -expectation; G -Itô's formula; G -stochastic differential equations; G -backward stochastic differential equations; Integral-Lipschitz coefficients

¹Corresponding author: yiqing.lin@univ-rennes1.fr

1 Introduction

The objective of this paper is to study the existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic differential equations driven by G -Brownian motion with integral-Lipschitz coefficients in the framework of sublinear expectation spaces.

Motivated by uncertainty problems, risk measures and the superhedging in finance, Peng [7, 8, 9] introduced G -Brownian motion. The expectation $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ associated with G -Brownian motion is a sublinear expectation which is called G -expectation. The stochastic calculus with respect to the G -Brownian motion has been established [9].

In this paper, we study the solvability of the following stochastic differential equation driven by G -Brownian motion:

$$\begin{cases} dX(s) = b(s, X(s))ds + h(s, X(s))d\langle B, B \rangle_s + \sigma(s, X(s))dB_s; \\ X(0) = x, \end{cases}$$

or, more precisely,

$$X(t) = x + \int_0^t b(s, X(s))ds + \int_0^t h(s, X(s))d\langle B, B \rangle_s + \int_0^t \sigma(s, X(s))dB_s, \quad (1)$$

where $t \in [0, T]$, the initial condition $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given and $(\langle B, B \rangle_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the quadratic variation process of G -Brownian motion $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$.

It is well known that under a Lipschitz condition on the coefficients b , h and σ , the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (1) has been obtained, see Peng [9] and Gao [3].

In this paper, we establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution to equation (1) under the following so-called integral-Lipschitz condition:

$$|b(t, x_1) - b(t, x_2)|^2 + |h(t, x_1) - h(t, x_2)|^2 + |\sigma(t, x_1) - \sigma(t, x_2)|^2 \leq \rho(|x_1 - x_2|^2), \quad (2)$$

where $\rho : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a continuous, increasing, concave function satisfying

$$\rho(0+) = 0, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{dr}{\rho(r)} = +\infty.$$

A typical example of (2) is :

$$\begin{aligned} & |b(t, x_1) - b(t, x_2)| + |h(t, x_1) - h(t, x_2)| \\ & + |\sigma(t, x_1) - \sigma(t, x_2)| \leq |x_1 - x_2| \left(\ln \frac{1}{|x_1 - x_2|} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Under this condition, the existence and uniqueness results for classical finite dimensional stochastic differential equations can be found in Watanabe-Yamada [11] and Yamada [14], while the infinite dimensional case can be found in Hu-Lerner [4]. In our paper, in the G -expectation framework, under the condition (2) we will prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (1) still hold.

We also establish the existence and uniqueness of the solution to equation (1) under a “weaker” condition on b and h , i.e.,

$$|b(t, x_1) - b(t, x_2)| \leq \rho(|x_1 - x_2|); \quad |h(t, x_1) - h(t, x_2)| \leq \rho(|x_1 - x_2|). \quad (3)$$

A typical example of (3) is:

$$\begin{aligned} |b(t, x_1) - b(t, x_2)| &\leq |x_1 - x_2| \ln \frac{1}{|x_1 - x_2|}; \\ |h(t, x_1) - h(t, x_2)| &\leq |x_1 - x_2| \ln \frac{1}{|x_1 - x_2|}. \end{aligned}$$

In the classical case, the uniqueness result can be found in Watanabe-Yamada [11] and the existence can be found in Hu-Lerner [4]. In our paper, we obtain both the uniqueness and existence results in the G -expectation framework.

Yamada-Watanabe [11] and Hu-Lerner [4] have also obtained the pathwise uniqueness result for the classical one-dimensional stochastic differential equations. The reader interested in the G -Brownian motion case is referred to Lin [6].

This paper is organized as follows: Section 2 gives the necessary preliminaries which include a short recall of some elements of the G -stochastic calculus and some technique lemmas which will be used in what follows. Section 3 proves the existence and uniqueness theorem for G -stochastic differential equations, while Section 4 studies the G -BSDE case.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 G -Brownian motion and G -Capacity

The aim of this section is to recall some basic definitions and properties of G -expectations, G -Brownian motions and G -stochastic integrals, which will be needed in the sequel. The reader interested in a more detailed description of these notions is referred to [9].

Adapting Peng's approach in [9], let Ω be a given nonempty fundamental space and $\mathcal{H}$ be a linear space of real functions defined on Ω such that :

- i) $1 \in \mathcal{H}$.
- ii) $\mathcal{H}$ is stable with respect to local Lipschitz functions, i.e., for all $n \geq 1$, and for all $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{H}$, $\varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it holds also $\varphi(X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{H}$.

Recall that $C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the space of all local Lipschitz functions φ over $\mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C(1 + |x|^m + |y|^m)|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for some $C > 0, m \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on φ . The set $\mathcal{H}$ is interpreted as the space of random variables defined on Ω .

Definition 2.1 *A sublinear expectation $\mathbb{E}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a functional $\mathbb{E} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the following properties : for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$, we have*

- i) **Monotonicity:** if $X \geq Y$, then $\mathbb{E}[X] \geq \mathbb{E}[Y]$;
- ii) **Preservation of constants:** $\mathbb{E}[c] = c$, for all $c \in \mathbb{R}$;
- iii) **Sub-additivity:** $\mathbb{E}[X] - \mathbb{E}[Y] \leq \mathbb{E}[X - Y]$;
- iv) **Positive homogeneity:** $\mathbb{E}[\lambda X] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[X]$, for all $\lambda \geq 0$.

The triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ is called a sublinear expectation space. It generalizes the classical case of the linear expectation $E[X] = \int_{\Omega} X dP$, $X \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$, over a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{P})$.

Definition 2.2 *For arbitrary $n, m \geq 1$, a random vector $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \in \mathcal{H}^n$ ($= \mathcal{H} \times \dots \times \mathcal{H}$) is said to be independent of $X \in \mathcal{H}^m$ under $\mathbb{E}[\cdot]$ if for each test function $\varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{n+m})$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X, Y)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\varphi(x, Y)]_{x=X}].$$

Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in \mathcal{H}^n$ be a given random vector. We define a functional on $C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\mathbb{F}_X[\varphi] := \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)], \quad \varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Definition 2.3 *Given two sublinear expectation spaces $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ and $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathcal{H}}, \tilde{\mathbb{E}})$, two random vectors $X \in \mathcal{H}^n$ and $Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^n$ are said to be identically distributed if for each test function $\varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$\mathbb{F}_X[\varphi] = \tilde{\mathbb{F}}_Y[\varphi].$$

Now we begin to introduce the definition of G -Brownian motion and G -expectation.

Definition 2.4 *A d -dimensional random vector X in a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \mathbb{E})$ is called G -normal distributed if for each $\varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$u(t, x) := \mathbb{E}[\varphi(x + \sqrt{t}X)], \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

is the viscosity solution of the following PDE defined on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - G(D^2 u) = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = \varphi,$$

where $G = G_X(A) : \mathbb{S}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$G_X(A) := \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E}[\langle AX, X \rangle], \quad A \in \mathbb{S}^d,$$

and $D^2 u = (\partial_{x_i x_j}^2 u)_{i,j=1}^d$.

In particular, $\mathbb{E}[\varphi(X)] = u(1, 0)$, and by Peng [9] it is easy to check that, for a G -normal distributed random vector X , there exists a bounded, convex and closed subset Γ of $\mathbb{R}^d$, which is the space of all $d \times d$ matrices, such that for each $A \in \mathbb{S}^d$, $G(A) = G_X(A)$ can be represented as

$$G(A) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{tr}[\gamma \gamma^T A].$$

Consequently, we can denote the G -normal distribution by $N(0, \Sigma)$, where $\Sigma := \{\gamma \gamma^T, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$.

Let Ω denote the space of all $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued continuous paths $(\omega_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\omega_0 = 0$, equipped with the distance

$$\rho(\omega^1, \omega^2) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} [(\max_{t \in [0, i]} |\omega_t^1 - \omega_t^2|) \wedge 1],$$

and we denote the canonical process by $B_t(\omega) = \omega_t$, $t \geq 0$, for each $\omega \in \Omega$. For each $T \geq 0$, we set

$$L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_T) := \{\varphi(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n}) : n \geq 1, t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, T], \varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times n})\}.$$

Define

$$L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_n),$$

it is clear that $L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F})$ is a vector lattices.

Definition 2.5 Let $\mathbb{E} : L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sublinear expectation on $L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F})$, we call $\mathbb{E}$ G -expectation if the d -dimensional canonical process $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a G -Brownian motion under $\mathbb{E}$, that is,

- i) $B_0(\omega) = 0$;
- ii) For each $t, s \geq 0$, the increment $B_{t+s} - B_t$ is $N(0, s\Sigma)$ -distributed and independent of $(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n})$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t$, i.e., for each $\varphi \in C_{l,Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times m})$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\varphi(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_{m-1}}, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})] = \mathbb{E}[\psi(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_{m-1}})],$$

where $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{m-1}, B_{t_m} - B_{t_{m-1}})]$.

By Peng [9], the construction of G -expectation is explicit and natural. We denote by $L_G^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ (resp. $L_G^p(\mathcal{F})$) the topological completion of $L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ (resp. $L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F})$) under the Banach norm $\mathbb{E}[|\cdot|^p]^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. We also denote the extension by $\mathbb{E}$.

Definition 2.6 Let $\mathbb{E} : L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a G -expectation on $L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F})$, we define the related conditional expectation of $X \in L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_T)$ under $L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_{t_j})$, $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_j \leq t_{j+1} \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq T$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}] &= \mathbb{E}[\varphi(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\psi(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_j} - B_{t_{j-1}})], \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(x_1, \dots, x_j) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_j, B_{t_{j+1}} - B_{t_j}, \dots, B_{t_n} - B_{t_{n-1}})]$.

Since, for $X, Y \in L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_{t_j})$,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}] - \mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}]|] \leq \mathbb{E}[|X - Y|],$$

the mapping $\mathbb{E}[\cdot|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}] : L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_T) \rightarrow L_{ip}^0(\mathcal{F}_{t_j})$ can be continuously extended to $\mathbb{E}[\cdot|\mathcal{F}_{t_j}] : L_G^1(\mathcal{F}_T) \rightarrow L_G^1(\mathcal{F}_{t_j})$.

From the above definition we know that each G -expectation is determined by the parameter G , which is determined by Γ , where Γ is some bounded convex closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. Let P be the Wiener measure on Ω . The filtration generated by the canonical process $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is denoted by

$$\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma\{B_u, 0 \leq u \leq t\}, \mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{0,\infty}^\Gamma$ be the collection of all Γ -valued $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ adapted processes on the interval $[0, \infty)$, i.e., $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{0,\infty}^\Gamma$ if and only if θ_t is $\mathcal{F}_t$ measurable and $\theta_t \in \Gamma$, for each $t \geq 0$. For each fixed $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{0,\infty}^\Gamma$, let P_θ be the law of the process $(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s)_{t \geq 0}$ under the Wiener measure P .

We denote by $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in \mathcal{A}_{0,\infty}^\Gamma\}$ and define

$$\bar{C}(A) := \sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{0,\infty}^\Gamma} P_\theta(A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega).$$

From Theorem 1 of [2], we know $\mathcal{P}$ is tight and $\bar{C}$ is a Choquet capacity. For each $X \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$, $E_\theta(X)$ exists for each $\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{0,\infty}^\Gamma$. Set

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}[X] := \sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{A}_{0,\infty}^\Gamma} E_\theta(X),$$

then we can introduce the notion of “quasi sure” (q.s.).

Definition 2.7 *A set $A \subset \Omega$ is called polar if $\bar{C}(A) = 0$. A property is said to hold “quasi-surely” (q.s.) if it holds outside a polar set.*

From Theorem 59 of [2], in fact, $L_G^1(\mathcal{F})$ can be rewritten as the collection of all the q.s. continuous random vectors $X \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X|I_{\{|X|>n\}}] = 0$. Furthermore, for all $X \in L_G^1(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathbb{E}[X] = \bar{\mathbb{E}}[X]$.

From Denis, Hu and Peng [2] and Gao [3], we also have the following monotone convergence theorem:

$$X_n \in L_G^1(\mathcal{F}), \quad X_n \downarrow X, \quad q.s. \Rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X_n] \downarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}[X].$$

$$X_n \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega), \quad X_n \uparrow X, \quad q.s., \quad E_\theta(X_1) > -\infty \text{ for all } P_\theta \in \mathcal{P} \Rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}[X_n] \uparrow \bar{\mathbb{E}}[X]. \quad (4)$$

In [9], a generalized Itô integral and a generalized Itô formula with respect to G -Brownian motion are established:

Definition 2.8 *For $T \in \mathbb{R}_+$, a partition of $[0, T]$ is a finite ordered subset $\pi_T^N = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_N\}$ such that $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = T$. Let $p \geq 1$ be fixed, define*

$$M_G^{p,0}([0, T]) := \left\{ \eta_t = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j I_{[t_j, t_{j+1})}(t); \xi_j \in L_G^p(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}) \right\}.$$

We set

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}(\eta_t(\omega)) dt := \frac{1}{T} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mathbb{E}(\xi_j(\omega))(t_{j+1} - t_j).$$

For each $p \geq 1$, we denote by $M_G^p([0, T])$ the completion of $M_G^{p,0}([0, T])$ under the norm

$$\|\eta\|_{M_G^p([0, T])} = \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[|\eta_s|^p] ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)^T$ be a given vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$, we set $(B_t^{\mathbf{a}})_{t \geq 0} = (\mathbf{a}, B_t)_{t \geq 0}$, where $(\mathbf{a}, B_t)$ denotes the scalar product of $\mathbf{a}$ and B_t .

Definition 2.9 For each $\eta \in M_G^{2,0}([0, T])$ with the form

$$\eta_t(\omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j I_{[t_j, t_{j+1})}(t),$$

we define

$$\mathcal{I}(\eta) = \int_0^T \eta_s dB_s^{\mathbf{a}} := \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \xi_j (B_{t_{j+1}}^{\mathbf{a}} - B_{t_j}^{\mathbf{a}}),$$

and the mapping can be continuously extended to $\mathcal{I} : M_G^2([0, T]) \rightarrow L_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$. Then, for each $\eta \in M_G^2([0, T])$, the stochastic integral is defined by

$$\int_0^T \eta_s dB_s^{\mathbf{a}} := \mathcal{I}(\eta).$$

We denote by $(\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_t)_{t \geq 0}$ the quadratic variation process of process $(B_t^{\mathbf{a}})_{t \geq 0}$, we know from [9] that $(\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is an increasing process with $\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_0 = 0$, and for each fixed $s \geq 0$,

$$\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_{t+s} - \langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_s = \langle (B^s)^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_t,$$

where $B_t^s = B_{t+s} - B_s, t \geq 0, (B^s)^{\mathbf{a}}_t = (\mathbf{a}, B_t^s)$.

The mutual variation process of $B^{\mathbf{a}}$ and $B^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}}$ is defined by

$$\langle B^{\mathbf{a}}, B^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle_t := \frac{1}{4} (\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} + B^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle_t - \langle B^{\mathbf{a}} - B^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle_t).$$

Definition 2.10 Define the mapping $M_G^{1,0}([0, T]) \rightarrow L_G^1(\mathcal{F}_T)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{Q}(\eta) = \int_0^T \eta(s) d\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_s := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \xi_k (\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_{t_{k+1}} - \langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_{t_k}).$$

Then $\mathcal{Q}$ can be uniquely extended to $M_G^1([0, T])$. We still use $\mathcal{Q}(\eta)$ to denote the mapping $\int_0^T \eta(s) d\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_s, \eta \in M_G^1([0, T])$.

Remark: For any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $B_t^{\mathbf{a}}$ is a one dimensional $G_{\mathbf{a}}$ -Brownian motion where

$$G_{\mathbf{a}}(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{tr}(\beta \gamma \gamma^T \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^T) = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^T} \beta^+ - \sigma_{-\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^T} \beta^-), \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T} = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \text{tr}(\gamma \gamma^T \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T), \quad \sigma_{-\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T} = -\sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} -\text{tr}(\gamma \gamma^T \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T).$$

By Corollary 5.3.19 of [9] we have

$$\langle B \rangle_t \in t\Sigma = \{t \times \gamma \gamma^T, \gamma \in \Gamma\},$$

therefore, for $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_t - \langle B^{\mathbf{a}} \rangle_s \leq \sigma_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T}(t - s).$$

At the end of the subsection, we give Itô's formula for the G -stochastic calculus.

Theorem 2.11 (*Proposition 6.3 of [9]*) *Let $\alpha^\nu, \eta^{\nu ij}$ and $\beta^{\nu j} \in M_G^2([0, T])$, $\nu = 1, \dots, n$, $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ be bounded processes and consider*

$$X_t^\nu = X_0^\nu + \int_0^t \alpha_s^\nu ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t \eta_s^{\nu ij} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \beta_s^{\nu j} dB_s^j,$$

where $X_0^\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, $\nu = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\Phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a real function with bounded derivatives such that $\{\partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \Phi\}_{\mu, \nu=1}^n$ are uniformly Lipschitz. Then for each $s, t \in [0, T]$, in $L_G^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(X_t) - \Phi(X_s) &= \int_s^t \partial_{x^\nu} \Phi(X_u) \alpha_u^\nu du + \int_s^t \partial_{x^\nu} \Phi(X_u) \eta_u^{\nu ij} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u \\ &\quad + \int_s^t \partial_{x^\nu} \Phi(X_u) \beta_u^{\nu j} dB_u^j + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \partial_{x^\mu x^\nu}^2 \Phi(X_u) \beta_u^{\mu i} \beta_u^{\nu j} d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_u, \end{aligned}$$

where the repeated indices ν, μ, i and j imply the summation.

2.2 Technical lemmas

In order to present our main results, we introduce here some technical lemmas which will be needed in the sequel. In the framework of G -expectation, by a classical argument, we also have the following Jensen's inequality and Fatou's lemma:

Lemma 2.12 *Let $\rho : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous increasing, concave function defined on $\mathbb{R}$, then for each $X \in L_G^1(\mathcal{F})$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\rho(\bar{\mathbb{E}}[X]) \geq \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\rho(X)].$$

Lemma 2.13 *Suppose $\{X^n, n \geq 0\}$ is a sequence of random variables in $L_G^1(\mathcal{F})$ and $Y \in L_G^1(\mathcal{F})$, $\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|Y|] < +\infty$, and for all $n \geq 0$, $X^n \geq Y$, q.s., we have*

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}[\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} X^n] \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[X^n];$$

furthermore, if there exists a random variable $Y \in L_G^1(\mathcal{F})$, $\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|Y|] < +\infty$, and for all $n \geq 0$, $X^n \leq Y$, q.s., then

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}[\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} X^n] \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[X^n].$$

Proof: By the representation theorem of G -expectation in [8], we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta(\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} X^n) &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} E_\theta(X^n) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{P_\theta \in \mathcal{P}} E_\theta(X^n) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[X^n]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum on the left side, we can easily get the result. And the other part of the lemma can be proved in a similar way.

Then we introduce two important BDG type inequalities for G -stochastic integrals.

Lemma 2.14 (Theorem 2.1 of [3]) Let $p \geq 2$ and $\eta = \{\eta_s, s \in [0, T]\} \in M_G^p([0, T])$. For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, set $X_t = \int_0^t \eta_s dB_s^{\mathbf{a}}$. Then there exists a continuous modification $\tilde{X}$ of X , i.e., on some $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega$, with $\bar{C}(\tilde{\Omega}^c) = 0$, $\tilde{X}(\omega) \in C_0[0, T]$ and $\bar{C}(|X_t - \tilde{X}_t| \neq 0) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, such that

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}[\sup_{s \leq u \leq t} |\tilde{X}_u - \tilde{X}_s|^p] \leq C_p \sigma_{\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^T}^{p/2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_s^t |\eta_u|^2 du\right)^{p/2}\right],$$

where $0 < C_p < \infty$ is a positive constant independent of $\mathbf{a}$, η and Γ .

Lemma 2.15 (Theorem 2.2 of [3]) Let $p \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\eta \in M_G^p([0, T])$. Then there exists a continuous modification $\tilde{X}_t^{\mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}}$ of $X_t^{\mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}} := \int_0^t \eta_s d\langle B^{\mathbf{a}}, B^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle_s$ such that for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}[\sup_{u \in [s, t]} |\tilde{X}_u^{\mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}} - \tilde{X}_s^{\mathbf{a}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}}|^p] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{4} \sigma_{(\mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{a}})(\mathbf{a} + \bar{\mathbf{a}})^T} + \frac{1}{4} \sigma_{(\mathbf{a} - \bar{\mathbf{a}})(\mathbf{a} - \bar{\mathbf{a}})^T} \right)^p (t - s)^{p-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_s^t |\eta_u|^p du\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark: By the above two Theorems, we can assume that the stochastic integrals $\int_0^t \eta_s dB_s^{\mathbf{a}}$, $\int_0^t \eta_s d\langle B^{\mathbf{a}}, B^{\bar{\mathbf{a}}} \rangle_s$ and $\int_0^t \eta_s ds$ are continuous in t for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

The last two lemmas can be regarded as the starting point of this paper, and the proof of the lemma 2.17 can be found in [1].

Lemma 2.16 Suppose that g is a given function satisfying $g(\cdot, x) \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for all x, x_1 and $x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$:
(A1) $|g(t, x)| \leq \beta_1(t) + \beta_2(t)|x|$;

(A2) $|g(t, x_1) - g(t, x_2)| \leq \beta(t)\gamma(|x_1 - x_2|)$,
where $\beta_1 \in M_G^2([0, T])$, $\beta, \beta_2 : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are Lebesgue integrable,
 $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\gamma(0+) = 0$. Then for all $X \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $g(\cdot, X) \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Remark: We shall prove this lemma in the appendix. Based on this lemma, the G -stochastic differential equation (1) is well-defined under the integral-Lipschitz condition.

Lemma 2.17 Let $\rho : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a continuous, increasing function satisfying

$$\rho(0+) = 0, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{dr}{\rho(r)} = +\infty \quad (5)$$

and let u be a measurable, non-negative function defined on $(0, +\infty)$ satisfying

$$u(t) \leq a + \int_0^t \beta(s)\rho(u(s))ds, \quad t \in (0, +\infty),$$

where $a \in [0, +\infty)$, and $\beta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is Lebesgue integrable. We have:

- i) If $a = 0$, then $u(t) = 0$, for $t \in [0, +\infty)$;
- ii) If $a > 0$, we define $v(t) = \int_{t_0}^t (ds/\rho(s))$, $t \in [0, +\infty)$, where $t_0 \in (0, +\infty)$, then

$$u(t) \leq v^{-1}(v(a) + \int_0^t \beta(s)ds). \quad (6)$$

3 Solvability of G -stochastic differential equations

In this section, we give the main result of this paper, that is the existence and uniqueness of a solution to G -stochastic differential equation with integral-Lipschitz coefficients.

Consider the following stochastic differential equation (1) driven by a d -dimensional G -Brownian motion, and we rewrite it in an equivalent form:

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(s, X_s)ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t h_{ij}(s, X_s)d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma_j(s, X_s)dB_s^j, \quad (7)$$

where $t \in [0, T]$, the initial condition $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a given vector, and b, h_{ij}, σ_j are given functions satisfying $b(\cdot, x), h_{ij}(\cdot, x), \sigma_j(\cdot, x) \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, d$. We assume further that the following conditions are satisfied, for all $x, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(H1) \quad & |b(t, x)|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^d |h_{ij}(t, x)|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^d |\sigma_j(t, x)|^2 \leq \beta_1^2(t) + \beta_2^2(t)|x|^2; \\
(H2) \quad & |b(t, x_1) - b(t, x_2)|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^d |h_{ij}(t, x_1) - h_{ij}(t, x_2)|^2 \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^d |\sigma_j(t, x_1) - \sigma_j(t, x_2)|^2 \leq \beta^2(t)\rho(|x_1 - x_2|^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_1 \in M_G^2([0, T])$, $\beta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, $\beta_2 : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ are square integrable, and $\rho : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous, increasing, concave function satisfying (5).

Theorem 3.1 *We suppose (H1) and (H2), then there exists a unique continuous process $X(\cdot; x) \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof: We begin with the proof of uniqueness. Suppose $X(\cdot; x)$ is a solution of (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
X(t; x_1) - X(t; x_2) &= x_1 - x_2 + \int_0^t (b(s, X(s; x_1)) - b(s, X(s; x_2)))ds \\
&+ \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t (h_{ij}(s, X(s; x_1)) - h_{ij}(s, X(s; x_2)))d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t (\sigma_j(s, X(s; x_1)) - \sigma_j(s, X(s; x_2)))dB_s^j
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|X(t; x_1) - X(t; x_2)|^2 &\leq 4|x_1 - x_2|^2 + 4\left|\int_0^t (b(s, X(s; x_1)) - b(s, X(s; x_2)))ds\right|^2 \\
&+ 4\left|\sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t (h_{ij}(s, X(s; x_1)) - h_{ij}(s, X(s; x_2)))d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s\right|^2 \\
&+ 4\left|\sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t (\sigma_j(s, X(s; x_1)) - \sigma_j(s, X(s; x_2)))dB_s^j\right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.14, Lemma 2.15 and (H1) we notice that, for some constants K_1 , K_2 and $K_3 > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bar{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \left|\int_0^r (b(s, X(s; x_1)) - b(s, X(s; x_2)))ds\right|^2\right] \\
&\leq K_1 t \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|b(s, X(s; x_1)) - b(s, X(s; x_2))|^2]ds \\
&\leq K_1 t \int_0^t \beta^2(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\rho(|X(s, x_1) - X(s, x_2)|^2)]ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \left| \int_0^r (h_{ij}(s, X(s; x_1)) - h_{ij}(s, X(s; x_2))) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s \right|^2\right] \\
& \leq K_2 t \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|h_{ij}(s, X(s; x_1)) - h_{ij}(s, X(s; x_2))|^2] ds \\
& \leq K_2 t \int_0^t \beta^2(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\rho(|X(s, x_1) - X(s, x_2)|^2)] ds
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \left| \int_0^r (\sigma_j(s, X(s; x_1)) - \sigma_j(s, X(s; x_2))) dB_s^j \right|^2\right] \\
& \leq K_3 \bar{\mathbb{E}}\left[\int_0^t |\sigma_j(s, X(s; x_1)) - \sigma_j(s, X(s; x_2))|^2 ds\right] \\
& \leq K_3 \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|\sigma_j(s, X(s; x_1)) - \sigma_j(s, X(s; x_2))|^2] ds \\
& \leq K_3 \int_0^t \beta^2(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\rho(|X(s; x_1) - X(s; x_2)|^2)] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us put:

$$u(t) = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X(r; x_1) - X(r; x_2)|^2],$$

then we have, due to the sub-additivity property of $\bar{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot]$, that for some positive constants C_1 and C_2 ,

$$u(t) \leq C_1 |x_1 - x_2|^2 + C_2 \int_0^t \beta^2(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\rho(|X(s; x_1) - X(s; x_2)|^2)] ds.$$

As ρ is concave and increasing, we deduce from Jensen's inequality (Lemma 2.12):

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) & \leq C_1 |x_1 - x_2|^2 + C_2 \int_0^t \beta^2(s) \rho(\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X(s; x_1) - X(s; x_2)|^2]) ds \\
& \leq C_1 |x_1 - x_2|^2 + C_2 \int_0^t \beta^2(s) \rho(u(s)) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

From (6), we obtain:

$$u(t) \leq v^{-1}(v(C_1 |x_1 - x_2|^2) + C_2 \int_0^t \beta^2(s) ds).$$

In particular, if $x_1 = x_2$, we obtain the uniqueness of the solution to (7).

Now we give the proof of the existence to (7). We define the Picard sequence of processes $\{X^m(\cdot), m \geq 0\}$ as follows:

$$X^0(t) = x, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X^{m+1}(t) = & x + \int_0^t b(s, X^m(s))ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t h_{ij}(s, X^m(s))d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma_j(s, X^m(s))dB_s^j, \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Because of the basic assumptions and (H1), the sequence $\{X^m(\cdot), m \geq 0\} \subset M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is well defined. We first establish a priori estimate for $\{\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t)|^2], m \geq 0\}$.

From (8), we deduce by Lemma 2.14 and Lemma 2.15 that, for some positive constants C_1 and C_2 ,

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{m+1}(t)|^2] \leq C_1|x|^2 + C_2 \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\beta_1^2(s) + \beta_2^2(s)|X^m(s)|^2]ds.$$

Hence,

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{m+1}(t)|^2] \leq C_1|x|^2 + C_2 \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\beta_1^2(s)]ds + C_2 \int_0^t \beta_2^2(s)\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(s)|^2]ds.$$

Set

$$p(t) = \left(C_1|x|^2 + C_2 \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\beta_1^2(s)]ds \right) \exp \left\{ C_2 \int_0^t \beta_2^2(s)ds \right\},$$

then p is the solution of

$$p(t) = C_1|x|^2 + C_2 \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\beta_1^2(s)]ds + C_2 \int_0^t \beta_2^2(s)p(s)ds.$$

By recurrence, it is easy to prove that for any $m \geq 0$,

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t)|^2] \leq p(t).$$

Set

$$u_{k+1,m}(t) = \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+1+m}(r) - X^{k+1}(r)|^2].$$

From the definition of the sequence $\{X^m(\cdot), m \geq 0\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} X^{k+1+m}(t) - X^{k+1}(t) &= \int_0^t (b(s, X^{k+m}(s)) - b(s, X^k(s)))ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t (h_{ij}(s, X^{k+m}(s)) - h_{ij}(s, X^k(s)))d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t (\sigma_j(s, X^{k+m}(s)) - \sigma_j(s, X^k(s)))dB_s^j. \end{aligned}$$

By the same method as in the proof of uniqueness, we deduce that, for some positive constant C ,

$$u_{k+1,m}(t) \leq C \int_0^t \beta^2(s) \rho(u_{k,m}(s)) ds.$$

Set

$$v_k(t) = \sup_m u_{k,m}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

then,

$$0 \leq v_{k+1}(t) \leq C \int_0^t \beta^2(s) \rho(v_k(s)) ds.$$

Finally, we define:

$$\alpha(t) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} v_k(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Since ρ is continuous and $v_k(t) \leq 4p(t)$, we have

$$0 \leq \alpha(t) \leq C \int_0^t \beta^2(s) \rho(\alpha(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.17,

$$\alpha(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

That is, $\{X^m(\cdot), m \geq 0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Set

$$X(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (X^m(t) - X^{m-1}(t)),$$

we notice that, for some positive constants K_1 , K_2 and K_3 ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (b(s, X^m(s)) - b(s, X(s))) ds \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq K_1 T \int_0^T \beta^2(s) \rho \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \mathbb{E} [|X^m(t) - X(t)|^2] \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (h_{ij}(s, X^m(s)) - h_{ij}(s, X(s))) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s \right|^2\right] \\ & \leq K_2 T \int_0^T \beta^2(s) \rho\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t) - X(t)|^2]\right) ds \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{\mathbb{E}}\left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (\sigma_j(s, X^m(s)) - \sigma_j(s, X(s))) dB_s^j \right|^2\right] \\ & \leq K_3 \int_0^T \beta^2(s) \rho\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t) - X(t)|^2]\right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

since ρ is continuous and $\rho(0+) = 0$, we have $X(\cdot) \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies (7). The proof of the existence of the solution to (7) is now complete. $\square$

Furthermore, we consider the existence and uniqueness of a solution to the stochastic differential equation (7) under some weaker condition than (H2).

Theorem 3.2 *We suppose the following condition: for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$\begin{aligned} (H1') & |b(t, x)|^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^d |h_{ij}(t, x)|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^d |\sigma_j(t, x)|^2 \leq \beta_1^2(t) + \beta_2^2(t)|x|^2, \\ (H2') & \begin{cases} |b(t, x_1) - b(t, x_2)| \leq \beta(t)\rho_1(|x_1 - x_2|); \\ |h_{ij}(t, x_1) - h_{ij}(t, x_2)| \leq \beta(t)\rho_1(|x_1 - x_2|); \\ |\sigma_j(t, x_1) - \sigma_j(t, x_2)|^2 \leq \beta(t)\rho_2(|x_1 - x_2|^2), \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_1 \in M_G^p([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$, $\beta_2 : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is p -integrable, $p > 2$, $\beta : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is Lebesgue integrable, and $\rho_1, \rho_2 : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ are continuous, concave and increasing, and both of them satisfy (5). Furthermore, we assume that

$$\rho_3(r) = \frac{\rho_2(r^2)}{r}, \quad r \in (0, +\infty)$$

is also continuous, concave and increasing, and

$$\rho_3(0+) = 0, \quad \int_0^1 \frac{dr}{\rho_1(r) + \rho_3(r)} = +\infty.$$

Then there exists a unique solution X in $M_G^p([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ to the equation (7).

Example: If

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(r) &= r \ln \frac{1}{r}, \\ \rho_2(r) &= r \ln \frac{1}{r}, \end{aligned}$$

then the conditions for Theorem 3.2 are satisfied but not for Theorem 3.1.

Remark: Fang and Zhang prove in [12] a similar uniqueness result for stochastic differential equations, where ρ need not to be concave by a stopping time technique. They derive existence by the well-known Yamada-Watanabe theorem which says that the existence of weak solution and pathwise uniqueness imply the existence of strong solution. For the stochastic differential equation driven by G -Brownian motion, neither the stopping time technique nor the corresponding Yamada-Watanabe result are available.

Proof: We start with the proof of existence. Firstly we define a sequence of processes $\{X^m(\cdot), m \geq 0\}$ as follows:

$$X^0(t) = x, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X^{m+1}(t) = & x + \int_0^t b(s, X^m(s)) ds \\ & + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t h_{ij}(s, X^m(s)) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma_j(s, X^{m+1}(s)) dB_s^j. \end{aligned}$$

Because of the assumptions of this theorem and thanks to Theorem 3.1, the sequence $\{X^m(\cdot), m \geq 0\}$ is well defined in $L_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

In order to apply Itô's formula, we first define the truncation functions b^N , h_{ij}^N and σ_j^N . For $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ and $N \geq 0$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} b^N(t, x) &= \begin{cases} b(t, x), & \text{if } |b(t, x)| < N, \\ \frac{Nb(t, x)}{|b(t, x)|}, & \text{if } |b(t, x)| \geq N; \end{cases} \\ h_{ij}^N(t, x) &= \begin{cases} h_{ij}(t, x), & \text{if } |h_{ij}(t, x)| < N, \\ \frac{Nh_{ij}(t, x)}{|h_{ij}(t, x)|}, & \text{if } |h_{ij}(t, x)| \geq N; \end{cases} \\ \sigma_j^N(t, x) &= \begin{cases} \sigma_j(t, x), & \text{if } |\sigma_j(t, x)| < N, \\ \frac{N\sigma_j(t, x)}{|\sigma_j(t, x)|}, & \text{if } |\sigma_j(t, x)| \geq N. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that b^N , h_{ij}^N and σ_j^N still satisfy (H1) and (H2). Define

$$\begin{aligned} X^{m+1,N}(t) = & x + \int_0^t b^N(s, X^m(s)) ds \\ & + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t h_{ij}^N(s, X^m(s)) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma_j^N(s, X^{m+1}(s)) dB_s^j. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the Itô integral, for a fixed $m \geq 0$, the sequence $\{X^{m,N}(\cdot), N \geq 0\}$ is well defined in $M_G^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let us now establish a priori estimates for $\{\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t)|^p], m \geq 0\}$ and $\{\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{m,N}(t)|^p], m, N \geq 0\}$, $p > 2$. By Lemma 2.14 and Lemma 2.15, for some positive constant C_1 and C_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t)|^p] &\leq C_1|x|^p + C_2 \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\beta_1^p(s)]ds \\ &\quad + \frac{C_2}{2} \int_0^t \beta_2^p(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t)|^p]ds + \frac{C_2}{2} \int_0^t \beta_2^p(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{m+1}(t)|^p]ds. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into consideration that $\beta_1 \in M_G^p([0, T]; \mathbb{R})$, β_2 is p -integrable, by induction, we have $\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t)|^p] \leq p'(t)$, $p > 2$, where $p'(t)$ is the solution to

$$p'(t) = C_1|x|^p + C_2 \int_0^t \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\beta_1^p(s)]ds + C_2 \int_0^t \beta_2^p(s)p'(s)ds.$$

Hence, for some positive constant M ,

$$\sup_{m \geq 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t)|^p] \leq M.$$

In a similar way, we also have,

$$\sup_{m, N \geq 0} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{m,N}(t)|^p] \leq M,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{m,N}(t) - X^m(t)|^p] \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{m,N}(t)|^p] + 2^{p-1} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t)|^p] \\ &\leq 2^p M. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for a fixed $m > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{m,N}(t) - X^m(t)|] \\
& \leq \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T |b^N(s, X^m(s)) - b(s, X^m(s))| ds \right] \\
& + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T |h_{ij}^N(s, X^m(s)) - h_{ij}(s, X^m(s))| d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s \right] \\
& + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sum_{j=1}^d \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t (\sigma_j^N(s, X^{m+1}(s)) - \sigma_j(s, X^{m+1}(s))) dB_s^j \right| \right] \\
& \leq \int_0^T \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[|b(s, X^m(s))| I_{\{|b(s, X^m(s))| \geq N\}} \right] ds \\
& + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^T \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[|h_{ij}(s, X^m(s))| I_{\{|h_{ij}(s, X^m(s))| \geq N\}} \right] ds \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\int_0^T \bar{\mathbb{E}} [|\sigma_j(s, X^{m+1}(s))|^2 I_{\{|\sigma_j(s, X^{m+1}(s))|^2 \geq N\}}] ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $b(\cdot, X^m)$, $h_{ij}(\cdot, X^m)$ and $\sigma_j(\cdot, X^m) \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$, from Theorem 59 of [2], as $N \rightarrow +\infty$, the right side converges to 0. Thus, $X^{m,N}$ converges to X^m in $M_G^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Note that as $|x|$ is not C^2 , we approximate $|x|$ by $F_\varepsilon \in C^2$, where

$$F_\varepsilon(x) = (|x|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for a given $\varepsilon > 0$. We notice that

$$\left| \frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_i} \right| \leq 1, \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2 F_\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right| \leq \frac{2}{(|x|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

and $\frac{\partial F_\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_i}$, $\frac{\partial^2 F_\varepsilon(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$ are bounded and uniformly Lipschitz for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$.

Applying G -Itô formula to $F_\varepsilon(X^{k+1+m,N}(t) - X^{k+1,N}(t))$, and we take the G -expectation on both sides. From Lemma 2.15, for some positive

constant K , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\mathbb{E}}[F_\varepsilon(X^{k+1+m,N}(t) - X^{k+1,N}(t))] \\
& \leq \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^t |b^N(s, X^{k+m}(s)) - b^N(s, X^k(s))| ds \right] \\
& + K \sum_{i,j=1}^d \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^t |h_{ij}^N(s, X^{k+m}(s)) - h_{ij}^N(s, X^k(s))| ds \right] \\
& + K \sum_{j=1}^d \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^t \frac{|\sigma_j^N(s, X^{k+m+1}(s)) - \sigma_j^N(s, X^{k+1}(s))|^2}{(|X^{k+m+1,N}(s) - X^{k+1,N}(s)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \right] \\
& \leq (1 + Kd^2) \int_0^t \beta(s) \rho_1(\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+m}(s) - X^k(s)|]) ds \\
& + Kd \int_0^t \beta(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{\rho_2(|X^{k+m+1}(s) - X^{k+1}(s)|^2)}{(|X^{k+m+1,N}(s) - X^{k+1,N}(s)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] ds. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, define

$$\Delta F_\varepsilon^{k,m,N}(t) = |F_\varepsilon(X^{k+m,N}(t) - X^{k,N}(t)) - F_\varepsilon(X^{k+m}(t) - X^k(t))|,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\Delta F_\varepsilon^{k+1,m,N}(t)] \\
& \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|(X^{k+1+m,N}(t) - X^{k+1,N}(t)) - (X^{k+1+m}(t) - X^{k+1}(t))|] \\
& \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+1+m,N}(t) - X^{k+1+m}(t)|] + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+1,N}(t) - X^{k+1}(t)|].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the left side of (9) uniformly converges to $\bar{\mathbb{E}}[F_\varepsilon(X^{k+1+m}(t) - X^{k+1}(t))]$ as $N \rightarrow +\infty$.

On the other hand, $\rho_2 : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ are continuous, concave and increasing, then for arbitrary fixed $\delta > 0$, there exist some positive constants K_δ , such that $\rho_2(x) \leq K_\delta x$, for $x > \delta$. Choosing $M > 0$ sufficiently

large, for some positive constant C_ε and $\alpha > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T \beta(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\rho_2(|X^{k+m+1}(s) - X^{k+1}(s)|^2)] \\
& \times \left| \frac{1}{(|X^{k+m+1,N}(s) - X^{k+1,N}(s)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{(|X^{k+m+1}(s) - X^{k+1}(s)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| ds \\
& \leq \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\Delta F_\varepsilon^{k+1,m,N}(t)] C_\varepsilon \beta(s) \rho_2(M) ds \\
& + \int_0^T 2\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_\delta \beta(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+m+1}(s) - X^{k+1}(s)|^2 I_{\{|X^{k+m+1}(s) - X^{k+1}(s)|^2 \geq M\}}] \\
& = \int_0^T 2\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} K_\delta \beta(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}\left[\frac{|X^{k+m+1}(s) - X^{k+1}(s)|^{2+\alpha}}{M^\alpha}\right] ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since M can be arbitrary large, and $\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+m+1}(t) - X^{k+1}(t)|^{2+\alpha}] < +\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T \beta(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\rho_2(|X^{k+m+1}(s) - X^{k+1}(s)|^2)] \\
& \times \left| \frac{1}{(|X^{k+m+1,N}(s) - X^{k+1,N}(s)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{(|X^{k+m+1}(s) - X^{k+1}(s)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right| ds \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking $N \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ on both side of (9), we deduce from monotone convergence theorem in [2] and [3] that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+1+m}(t) - X^{k+1}(t)|] \\
& \leq (1 + Kd^2) \int_0^t \beta(s) \rho_1(\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+m}(s) - X^k(s)|]) ds \\
& + Kd \int_0^t \beta(s) \rho_3(\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+m+1}(s) - X^{k+1}(s)|]) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{k,m}(t) &= \sup_{0 \leq r \leq t} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^{k+m}(r) - X^k(r)|]; \\
v_k(t) &= \sup_m u_{k,m}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.
\end{aligned}$$

For some positive constant C ,

$$u_{k+1,m}(t) \leq C \int_0^t \beta(s) (\rho_1(u_{k,m}(s)) + \rho_3(u_{k+1,m}(s))) ds.$$

then,

$$0 \leq v_{k+1}(t) \leq C \int_0^t \beta(s)(\rho_1(v_k(s)) + \rho_3(v_{k+1}(s)))ds.$$

Finally, we define:

$$\alpha(t) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow +\infty} v_k(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

then

$$0 \leq \alpha(t) \leq C \int_0^t \beta(s)(\rho_1(\alpha(s)) + \rho_3(\alpha(s)))ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

By Lemma 2.17,

$$\alpha(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Hence, $\{X^m(\cdot), m \geq 0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $M_G^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists $X(\cdot) \in M_G^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ and a subsequence $\{X^{m_l}(\cdot), l \geq 1\} \subset \{X^m(\cdot), m \geq 1\}$ such that

$$X^{m_l} \rightarrow X, \text{ as } l \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ q.s..}$$

By the priori estimates and Lemma 2.13, we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X(t)|^p] \leq M.$$

Hence,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t) - X(t)|^p] \leq 2^p M.$$

Consequencely, for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t) - X(t)|^2] \right) \\ & \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\varepsilon^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[I_{\{|X^m(t) - X(t)| < \varepsilon\}}] + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t) - X(t)|^2 I_{\{|X^m(t) - X(t)| \geq \varepsilon\}}] \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon^2 + \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} ((\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t) - X(t)|^p])^{\frac{2}{p}} (\bar{\mathbb{E}}[I_{\{|X^m(t) - X(t)| \geq \varepsilon\}}]^{\frac{p}{p-2}}))^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \right) \\ & \leq \varepsilon^2 + 4M^{\frac{2}{p}} \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[I_{\{|X^m(t) - X(t)| \geq \varepsilon\}}] \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} \\ & = \varepsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

The last step above can be easily deduced from $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t) - X(t)|]) = 0$ and Lemma 37 in [2]. Since ε can be arbitrary small, we have $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t) - X(t)|^2] = 0$.

Notice that ρ_1, ρ_2 are continuous and vanish at 0, and also for arbitrary

fixed $\delta > 0$, there exist some positive constants K_δ , such that $\rho_1(x) \leq K_\delta x$, for $x > \delta$. Hence, for some positive constant K , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (b(s, X^m(s)) - b(s, X(s))) ds \right|^2 \right] \\
& \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} K \int_0^T \beta^2(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\rho_1^2(|X^m(s) - X(s)|)] ds \\
& \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^T K \beta^2(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[\rho_1^2(|X^m(s) - X(s)|) I_{\{|X^m(s) - X(s)| > \varepsilon\}}] ds \right. \\
& \quad \left. + K \rho_1^2(\varepsilon^2) \int_0^T \beta^2(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}}[I_{\{|X^m(s) - X(s)| \leq \varepsilon\}}] ds \right) \\
& \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^T K \beta^2(s) K_\delta^2 \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(t) - X(t)|^2] ds \right) + K \rho_1^2(\varepsilon^2) \int_0^T \beta^2(s) ds \\
& = K \rho_1^2(\varepsilon^2) \int_0^T \beta^2(s) ds
\end{aligned}$$

Since ε can arbitrary small, then we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (b(s, X^m(s)) - b(s, X(s))) ds \right|^2 \right] = 0.$$

Similarly we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (h_{ij}(s, X^m(s)) - h_{ij}(s, X(s))) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s \right|^2 \right] = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \int_0^t (\sigma_j(s, X^m(s)) - \sigma_j(s, X(s))) dB_s^j \right|^2 \right] \\
& \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} C \int_0^T \beta^2(s) \rho_2 \left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X^m(s) - X(s)|^2] \right) ds \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the proof of the existence of the solution to (7) is complete.

Now we turn to the proof of uniqueness. Suppose $X_1, X_2 \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ are two solutions satisfying (7). We define the truncation sequence as fol-

lows, for $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ and $N \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} b^N(t, x) &= \begin{cases} b(t, x), & \text{if } |b(t, x)| < N, \\ \frac{Nb(t, x)}{|b(t, x)|}, & \text{if } |b(t, x)| \geq N; \end{cases} \\ h_{ij}^N(t, x) &= \begin{cases} h_{ij}(t, x), & \text{if } |h_{ij}(t, x)| < N, \\ \frac{Nh_{ij}(t, x)}{|h_{ij}(t, x)|}, & \text{if } |h_{ij}(t, x)| \geq N; \end{cases} \\ \sigma_j^N(t, x) &= \begin{cases} \sigma_j(t, x), & \text{if } |\sigma_j(t, x)| < N, \\ \frac{N\sigma_j(t, x)}{|\sigma_j(t, x)|}, & \text{if } |\sigma_j(t, x)| \geq N, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} X_1^N(t) &= x + \int_0^t b^N(s, X_1(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t h_{ij}^N(s, X_1(s)) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma_j^N(s, X_1(s)) dB_s^j; \\ X_2^N(t) &= x + \int_0^t b^N(s, X_2(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_0^t h_{ij}^N(s, X_2(s)) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_0^t \sigma_j^N(s, X_2(s)) dB_s^j. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the proof of existence, we have X_1^N, X_2^N converge to X_1, X_2 in $M_G^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ respectively. For a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, applying G -Itô's formula to $F_\varepsilon(X_1^N(t) - X_2^N(t))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[F_\varepsilon(X_1^N(t) - X_2^N(t))] &\leq \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^t |b^N(s, X_1(s)) - b^N(s, X_2(s))| ds \right] \\ &\quad + K \sum_{i,j=1}^d \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^t |h_{ij}^N(s, X_1(s)) - h_{ij}^N(s, X_2(s))| ds \right] \\ &\quad + K \sum_{j=1}^d \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^t \frac{|\sigma_j^N(s, X_1(s)) - \sigma_j^N(s, X_2(s))|^2}{(|X_1^N(s) - X_2^N(s)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} ds \right] \\ &\leq (1 + Kd^2) \int_0^t \beta(s) \rho_1(\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X_1(s) - X_2(s)|]) ds \\ &\quad + Kd \int_0^t \beta(s) \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\frac{\rho_2(|X_1(s) - X_2(s)|^2)}{(|X_1^N(s) - X_2^N(s)|^2 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X_1(t) - X_2(t)|] &\leq (1 + Kd^2) \int_0^t \beta(s) \rho_1(\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X_1(s) - X_2(s)|]) ds \\ &\quad + Kd \int_0^t \beta(s) \rho_3(\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X_1(s) - X_2(s)|]) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for some positive constant C ,

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X_1(t) - X_2(t)|] \leq C \int_0^t \beta(s)(\rho_1 + \rho_3) \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X_1(s) - X_2(s)|] \right) ds.$$

Finally, Lemma 2.17 gives the uniqueness result. $\square$

4 Solvability to G -backward stochastic differential equations

In the last section, we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution to G -backward stochastic differential equation with integral-Lipschitz coefficients.

Consider the following type of G -backward stochastic differential equation (G -BSDE):

$$Y_t = \mathbb{E}[\xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s) ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T g_{ij}(s, Y_s) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (10)$$

where $\xi \in L_G^1(\mathcal{F}_T; \mathbb{R}^n)$, and f, g_{ij} are given functions satisfying $f(\cdot, x), g_{ij}(\cdot, x) \in M_G^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, d$.

We assume further that, for all y, y_1 and $y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned} |g(s, y)| + |f(s, y)| &\leq \beta(t) + c|y|, \\ |g(s, y_1) - g(s, y_2)| + |f(s, y_1) - f(s, y_2)| &\leq \rho(|y_1 - y_2|), \end{aligned}$$

where $c > 0$, $\beta \in M_G^1([0, T]; \mathbb{R}_+)$ and $\rho : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is a continuous, concave, increasing function satisfying (5).

Theorem 4.1 *Under the assumptions above, (10) admits a unique solution $Y \in M_G^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof: Let $Y_1, Y_2 \in L_G^1([0, T], \mathbb{R}^n)$ be two solutions of (10), then

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t^1 - Y_t^2 &= \mathbb{E}[\xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^1) ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T g_{ij}(s, Y_s^1) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s | \mathcal{F}_t] \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E}[\xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^2) ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T g_{ij}(s, Y_s^2) d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s | \mathcal{F}_t]. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the sub-additivity property of $\mathbb{E}[\cdot|\mathcal{F}_t]$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |Y_t^1 - Y_t^2| &\leq \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^T (f(s, Y_s^1) - f(s, Y_s^2))ds| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d |\int_t^T (g_{ij}(s, Y_s^1) - g_{ij}(s, Y_s^2))d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s| |\mathcal{F}_t]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the G -expectation on both sides, we have from Lemma 2.15 and Lemma 2.12 that, for a positive constant $K > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|Y_t^1 - Y_t^2|] &\leq \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^T (f(s, Y_s^1) - f(s, Y_s^2))ds| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d |\int_t^T (g_{ij}(s, Y_s^1) - g_{ij}(s, Y_s^2))d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s|] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^T (f(s, Y_s^1) - f(s, Y_s^2))ds| \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathbb{E}[|\int_t^T (g_{ij}(s, Y_s^1) - g_{ij}(s, Y_s^2))d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s|] \\ &\leq K \mathbb{E} \int_t^T \rho(|Y_s^1 - Y_s^2|)ds \\ &\leq K \int_t^T \rho(\mathbb{E}[|Y_s^1 - Y_s^2|])ds. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$u(t) = \mathbb{E}[|Y_t^1 - Y_t^2|],$$

then

$$u(t) \leq K \int_t^T \rho(u(s))ds,$$

and we deduce from Lemma 2.17 that,

$$u(t) = 0.$$

Then the uniqueness of the solution can be now easily proved.

As for the existence of solution, we proceed as in Theorem 3.1: define a sequence of $(Y^m, m \geq 0)$, as follows:

$$Y_t^{m+1} = \mathbb{E}[\xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s^m)ds + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \int_t^T g_{ij}(s, Y_s^m)d\langle B^i, B^j \rangle_s | \mathcal{F}_t], \quad Y^0 = 0.$$

Then the rest of the proof goes in a similar way as that in Theorem 3.1, and we omit it. $\square$

5 Appendix

In this appendix, we give the proof of Lemma 2.16. For simplicity, we assume that g is bounded, and the unbounded case can be proved as in the existence part of Theorem 3.2 with a series of truncation function g^N , for $N \geq 0$.

Let $J \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a nonnegative function satisfying $\text{supp}(J) \subset B(0, 1)$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J(x) dx = 1,$$

and for $\lambda > 0$, put

$$J_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda^n} J\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$g_\lambda(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J_\lambda(x - y) g(t, y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in [0, T].$$

By a classic analytic argument, g_λ is uniformly Lipschitz. Then, for any $X \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $g_\lambda(\cdot, X) \in M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$. We only need to verify that $g(\cdot, X)$ is the limit of $g_\lambda(\cdot, X)$ in $M_G^2([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$.

For a fixed $\lambda > 0$,

$$|g_\lambda(t, x) - g(t, x)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J_\lambda(y) |(g(t, x - y) - g(t, x))| dy.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|g_\lambda(s, X_s) - g(s, X_s)|^2] ds \\ & \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T \bar{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J_\lambda(y) |g(s, X_s - y) - g(s, X_s)| dy \right|^2 \right] ds \\ & \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^T \beta(s) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} J_\lambda(y) \gamma(y) dy \right)^2 ds \\ & \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \int_0^T \beta(s) ds = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce desired result. $\square$

Acknowledgement The authors express special thanks to Prof. Ying Hu, who provided both the initial inspiration for the work and useful suggestions.

References

- [1] Chemin, J.-Y., Lerner, N., Flot de champs de vecteurs non Lipschitziens et équations de Navier-Stokes, *J. Differential Equations*, 121(1995), 314-328.
- [2] Denis, L., Hu, M., Peng, S., Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: application to G -Brownian motion pathes, arXiv:0802.1240v1 [math.PR] 9 Feb, 2008.
- [3] Gao, F., Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by G -Brownian motion, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 119-10(2009), 3356-3382.
- [4] Hu, Y., Lerner, N., On the existence and uniqueness of solutions to stochastic equations in infinite dimension with integral-Lipschitz coefficients, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 42-3(2002), 579-598.
- [5] Hu, M., Peng, S., On the representation theorem of G -expectations and paths of G -Brownian motion, *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.*, 25(2009), No. 3, 539-546.
- [6] Lin, Q., Uniqueness and comparison theorem of stochastic differential equations driven by G -Brownian motion, Preprint.
- [7] Peng, S., G -expectation, G -Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô type. *Stochastic analysis and applications*, Abel Symp., 2, Springer, Berlin, 2007, 541-567.
- [8] Peng, S., Multi-dimensional G -Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus under G -expectation, *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 118-12(2008), 2223-2253.
- [9] Peng, S., Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus under Uncertainty, arXiv: 1002.4546 [math.PR] 24 Feb, 2010.
- [10] Rockafellar, R. T., *Convex analysis*. Princeton, N. J.: Princeton University Press 1970.
- [11] Watanabe, S., Yamada, T., On the uniqueness of solutions of stochastic differential equations, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 11(1971), 553-563.
- [12] Fang, S., Zhang, T., A study of a class of stochastic differential equations with non-lipschitzian coefficients, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*. 132(2005), 356-390.
- [13] Xu, J., Zhang, B., Martingale characterization of G -Brownian motion. *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, 119-1(2009), 232-248.
- [14] Yamada, T., On the successive approximation of solutions of stochastic differential equations, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 21(1981), 501-515.